

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): **December 7, 2021**

**RELMADA THERAPEUTICS, INC.**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Nevada**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation)

**001-39082**  
(Commission File Number)

**45-5401931**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**2222 Ponce de Leon Blvd, Floor 3**  
**Coral Gables, FL**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**33134**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (786) 629-1376

**N/A**

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

Title of each class	Trading Symbol	Name of exchange on which registered
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share	RLMD	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

**Item 8.01 Other Events.**

On December 7, 2021, Relmada Therapeutics, Inc. (the "Company") made a poster presentation at the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP) 60th annual meeting regarding results of the Company's Human Abuse Potential (HAP) study of REL-1017 (esmethadone).

A copy of the poster presentation is filed herewith as Exhibit 99.1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.**

(d) Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
99.1	<a href="#">Relmada Therapeutics, Inc. Poster Presentation</a>
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (formatted as Inline XBRL)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: December 7, 2021

**RELMADA THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

By: /s/ Sergio Traversa  
Name: Sergio Traversa  
Title: Chief Executive Officer

# No Meaningful Opioid Abuse Liability of REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone), a Rapid-Acting Antidepressant in Clinical Development: A Human Abuse Potential Study

Jack Henningfield<sup>1</sup>, Glen Asanoff<sup>2</sup>, Charles Goodenough<sup>3</sup>, Marco Paganelli<sup>4</sup>, Megan Skram<sup>5</sup>, Sara De Martin<sup>6</sup>, Regina Park<sup>7</sup>, Paul Vocco<sup>8</sup>, Frank Sapoznik<sup>9</sup>, Thomas Kosten<sup>10</sup>, Jeff Mason<sup>11</sup>, August Buchhalter<sup>12</sup>, Judy Adewusi<sup>13</sup>, Ryan Lacer<sup>14</sup>, Priscilla Fort<sup>15</sup>, Sergio Thomas<sup>16</sup>, Charles Emswiler<sup>17</sup>, Paolo L. Mather<sup>18</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Henning Associates, Bethesda, MD, USA; <sup>2</sup>Drug Concept, Inc., Durham, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Relmada Therapeutics, Inc., Deerfield, IL, USA; <sup>4</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>5</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>6</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>7</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>8</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>9</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>10</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>11</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>12</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>13</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>14</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>15</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>16</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>17</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>18</sup>University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

### INTRODUCTION

REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone) is a safe and well-tolerated novel uncompetitive NMDAR channel blocker with a preference for pathologically hyperactive glutamatergic NMDAR channels.<sup>1</sup>

REL-1017 has been found to have efficacy at the mu-opioid receptor than levorotmethadone<sup>2</sup> and lacks clinically meaningful opioid agonist activity.<sup>3</sup>

REL-1017 retains potential neuroplasticity and therapeutic effects without dissociative effects<sup>4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12</sup> and does not cause potentially neurotoxic Olney's brain lesions,<sup>13</sup> unlike higher potency NMDAR blockers.

REL-1017 is currently in Phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD).<sup>14</sup>

Preclinical data performed with well-established experimental models, indicated that REL-1017 did not show any appreciable evidence of abuse potential.<sup>15,16</sup>

Due to its close chemical similarity to the opioid-active isomer, l-methadone, we further evaluated REL-1017 with a human abuse potential (HAP) study.

### OBJECTIVES

We aimed to assess the human abuse potential (HAP) of REL-1017 in a single-dose, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 5-way crossover study in experienced recreational drug users.

### METHODS

#### Study Design

Single-dose, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 5-way crossover HAP study of REL-1017 in experienced recreational drug users.

Each subject received the following oral treatments with 7-10 days of washout between treatments: REL-1017 35 mg (therapeutic daily dose), REL-1017 75 mg (loading dose), REL-1017 150 mg (at the therapeutic daily dose and the maximum tolerated dose), Oxycodone 40 mg (maximum tolerated dose), and placebo.

#### Endpoint Measurement

The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E<sub>max</sub>) for Drug Liking ("at this moment"), assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike, 50 = neutral, 55 to 100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).

Key secondary endpoints were "Overall Drug Liking" and for "Take Drug Again", assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike, 50 = neutral, 55 to 100 = like) VAS.

#### Data Analysis

Data for the primary endpoint were analyzed using a one-sided Student's t-test (if data were not skewed) or Sign Test (if data were skewed). For primary endpoint analysis (Table 2), comparisons were made (p < 0.05):

- between Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo was > 15 points);
- between Oxycodone 40 mg and each dose of REL-1017 (null hypothesis that the difference between Oxycodone 40 mg and REL-1017 was > 5 points); and
- between each dose of REL-1017 and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between REL-1017 and placebo was > 5 points).

The methods and hypotheses for the secondary endpoints were similar to that of the primary endpoint, except ranges of 0 were used for all tested hypotheses and for the null hypothesis (comparison between REL-1017 and placebo), a two-sided hypothesis with p=0.05 was utilized (null hypothesis that the difference between REL-1017 and placebo was > 0).

Statistical analyses were performed on "modified completers", defined as subjects completing all 5 treatments, and excluding subjects with similar Drug Liking E<sub>max</sub> scores (>5 points difference) across all study treatments or subjects with an E<sub>max</sub> for placebo was not at difference between E<sub>max</sub> for Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo.

# No Meaningful Opioid Abuse Liability of REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone)

## RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline demographic characteristics (Modified completers, N=44)

Demographic	Overall (N=44)	% (N)
Age, mean ± (SD), years	36.6	(9.2)
Gender		
Male	38	(81.8%)
Female	6	(13.2%)
Race		
Black / African American	29	(65.9%)
White	19	(42.7%)
Ethnicity		
Hispanic or Latino	5	(11.4%)
Not Hispanic or Latino	29	(65.6%)

Table 2. Drug Liking (E<sub>max</sub>)<sup>a</sup> at this moment<sup>b</sup> bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Primary endpoint

Drug Liking (E <sub>max</sub> ) <sup>a</sup> at this moment <sup>b</sup> (VAS)	Placebo	REL-1017 35 mg	REL-1017 75 mg	REL-1017 150 mg	Oxycodone 40 mg
	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44
Mean (SD)	51.7 (4.3)	53 (5.7)	50.2 (5.0)	64.9 (6.7)	85 (5.4)
Median	50	50	50	58	89
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	—
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value	—	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	—

<sup>a</sup> The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E<sub>max</sub>) for Drug Liking ("at this moment"), assessed with a bipolar (0 to 49 = dislike, 50 = neutral, 55 to 100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).

<sup>b</sup> Interpretation of P-values: P-values <0.05 suggests that REL-1017 has better abuse potential to placebo (i.e., worse) if positive.

- The E<sub>max</sub> for Oxycodone 40 mg was significantly greater than placebo, confirming study validity.
- The E<sub>max</sub> for Oxycodone 40 mg was greater than all 3 doses of REL-1017 (p<0.001).
- Comparison of REL-1017 to placebo, using the FDA suggested equivalence analysis, indicated similarity to placebo at P<0.001 for REL-1017 35 mg and REL-1017 75 mg. REL-1017 150 mg showed P=0.082 for similarity to placebo.

Table 3. Overall Drug Liking bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint

Overall Drug Liking VAS	Placebo	REL-1017 35 mg	REL-1017 75 mg	REL-1017 150 mg	Oxycodone 40 mg
	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44
Mean (SD)	51.3 (9.9)	51.9 (7.0)	58.5 (9.5)	61.5 (8.8)	75 (12.1)
Median	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.5	73.5
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002	—
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value	—	0.795	<0.999	0.029	—

Table 4. Take Drug Again bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint

Take Drug Again VAS	Placebo	REL-1017 35 mg	REL-1017 75 mg	REL-1017 150 mg	Oxycodone 40 mg
	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44	N=44
Mean (SD)	49.7 (6.7)	51.1 (6.3)	57.7 (23.8)	61.5 (25.4)	77.1 (25.0)
Median	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	86.0
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	—
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value	—	0.664	0.230	0.004	—

- Statistically significant differences between all tested doses of REL-1017 and Oxycodone were seen for the two key secondary endpoints (see Tables 3 and 4).
- Comparison of REL-1017 to placebo showed that REL-1017 35 mg and REL-1017 75 mg were not significantly different from placebo (P-values >0.10) and REL-1017 150 mg was significantly different from placebo (P-values <0.10) for "Overall Drug Liking" and "Take Drug Again".

## CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, all REL-1017 tested doses exhibited at least a 20-point difference in mean and median Drug Liking E<sub>max</sub> compared to Oxycodone (p<0.001) among recreational drug users.
- The similarity of REL-1017 35 mg and REL-1017 75 mg to Drug Liking E<sub>max</sub> ("at this moment") compared to placebo was significant (p<0.001).
- Comparable results of REL-1017 vs Oxycodone and REL-1017 vs Placebo were observed for the two key secondary endpoints ("Overall Drug Liking" and "Take Drug Again").
- Low-level liking, commonly seen in HAP studies at high doses of the test substance, is consistent with uncheduled substances and with controlled substances in U.S. DEA Schedule V or IV.<sup>17</sup>
- This study showed no meaningful opioid abuse potential for REL-1017. This HAP study design is considered the most predictive for determining opioid abuse potential.

## REFERENCES

1. Benarroch, G., et al. (2009). J Clin Psychopharmacol 33(3): 226-233.
2. Benarroch, G., et al. (2021). Behavioral Psychology, 99(3), 598-599.
3. Gable, S. E., et al. (1985). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 233(1): 193-197b.
4. Leung, K., et al. (2008). Anesth Analg 106(1): 118-124.
5. Isbell, H., Giannini, A.J. (1984). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 248: 833-835.
6. Drug Enforcement Administration, Division Control (Division, December 2009).
7. Pover, S., et al. (1992). Bulletin on Narcotics, 16, 26-36.
8. Park, M., et al. (2021). American Journal of Psychiatry (in press).
9. Wu, L., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at NEI 2021.
10. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04652543; NCT04488484.
11. Henningfield, J., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at NEI 2021.
12. Henningfield, J., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at CPD 2021.
13. Savin, D., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at CPD 2021.
14. Levy-Cookman, et al. (2020). Epilepsy Behav 116:1-7.

## DISCLOSURES

This research was sponsored by Relmada Therapeutics, Dr. Asanoff and Dr. Mason are employees at Drug Concept, Inc., Dr. Henningfield, Goodenough, Skram, Buchhalter, Adewusi, Lacer, Vocco, Sapoznik, Kosten, Fort, Paganelli, Thomas, and Mather are paid consultants for Relmada Therapeutics, Dr. Thomas is a current employee of Relmada Therapeutics, Dr. De Martin is employed and has received fees from companies or universities that have received payments or grants from Relmada, Drs. Isbell and Mather are inventors on methadone patents and other patents and patent applications. "We are grateful for the contributions of Dr. Richard (Ruggie) Fort. We were deeply saddened by his unexpected death in September 2023."



Corresponding Author: Paolo L. Mather, M.D.  
Email: pmather@relmada.com

American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP) 80th Annual Meeting, December 5-8, 2021